**Notes on Town Board discussion of Draft Comprehensive Plan**

**Updated per TB Meeting 6.16.22**

G. Lovett May 2022

Page numbers refer to the numbers on the document, not the page numbers assigned by the pdf

1. Page 9, 2nd column, 2nd paragraph, 7th line: change “must be” to “should be” – See note in WB memo.
2. Page 13, Goal 1. Modify this section to include protection of neighborhood character text updated
3. Page 14, Objective 4.4: Change to “ Encourage smaller-scale and home-based businesses that are compatible with the character of the neighborhood.” text updated
4. Page 22, 1st column, “Single-Family Residential”, 3rd line: define “subdivision” – *please clarify whether the plan should include the full definition of subdivision in this section or reference the section of zoning text?*
5. Page 67, 1st recommendation “ Focus new high-density residential growth...” text updated
6. Page 67, 2nd column, 1st bullet should read: text updated
   1. Amend the current zoning code to allow additional flexibility for converting existing on-site structures (barns, out-buildings) for use as accessory apartments (e.g., an apartment over a garage), or for modifications within a house, to allow more affordable housing opportunities;
7. Page 67, 2nd column, 3rd bullet: Needs greater explanation? Bullet deleted
8. Page 67, 2nd column, 4th bullet: Is this recommendation in the summary of recommendations? Yes, see page 123
9. Page 67, 2nd column, 6th bullet: Should we omit “and track availability”?? Bullet deleted
10. Page 68, 2nd column, last paragraph: Add explanation of why we would want to focus development in the hamlets – *please provide the language to add.*
11. Page 69, first paragraph: “...Town Center, infill development, additional pedestrian-friendly amenities, bicycle lanes, shared driveways and parking areas, landscaping and lighting can be incorporated incrementally, concurrent with land development applications.“ text updated
12. Page 69, first paragraph: The issue of whether and where to extend the RC Zone was extensively discussed but not resolved. Discussion - Rather than extending the RC District, the TB agree to revise the language in the plan to recommend creating a new mixed-use district or an overlay district.
13. Page 69, first paragraph, last sentence: “.. would allow more opportunities for businesses, including those that have larger space requirements.” text updated
14. Page 69, 1st bullet: Change “Accommodate” to “Encourage” text updated
15. Page 69, 2nd bullet: include more detail on what is allowed. text amended, adding: “and compatible with the character of the neighborhood.”
16. Page 70, Recommendations, last bullet of second recommendation. Add guidelines on who should do the visual impact assessment. Revised to: “Require Applicant’s to prepare”
17. Page 71, First bullet of recommendations for mining: Repeat information that there is one active and one inactive mine in Stanford. Include inactive mine in overlay? *TB will contact the owners of the mining properties and ask whether they would like to be included in the overlay.*
18. Page 74, first column, last paragraph: omit “indoor” text updated
19. Page 75, first paragraph: Reword to indicate that the Grange organizes the annual Community Day. text updated
20. Page 75, 2nd paragraph: Add pickleball courts to list of “other initiatives” text updated
21. Page 75, Recommendations: “...promote social vitality and health for all ages.” text updated
22. Page 79, Recommendations, first paragraph: delete “and other interested parties” text updated
23. Page 79, Recommendations: Delete entire sixth bullet and all sub-bullets. text updated
24. Page 83, first paragraph: Add sentence about visitors who come for horseback riding. *please provide the language to add.*
25. Page 84, 3rd bullet: “...hamlets, and research and promote ways to incentivize...”” text updated
26. Page 84, Regulatory, first sub-bullet: Which home-based businesses? Clarify or give examples. text amended, adding: “and compatible with the character of the neighborhood.”
27. Page 92, Recommendations, second bullet: “...potential wellhead protection area (see Chapter 6, page 117).” text updated
28. Page 112, Recommendations, 4th bullet, 1st sub-bullet: Give examples of the list of allowed businesses. text amended, adding: “and compatible with the character of the neighborhood.”
29. Page 112, second column, first paragraph, 6th line: change “are put to work” to “can work” text updated
30. Page 117, second column, 4th bullet: “... any proposed activity that represents a potential contamination sources, such as herbicides and pesticides, and prohibiting....” text updated
31. Chapter 7: Make sure summary of recommendations is updated to reflect changes in recommendations from the previous chapters. text updated
32. **Other corrections—not discussed by the Town Board:**
33. Figure 2-5: 4th line “assistance” mis-spelled text updated
34. Chapter 7, page 123, “renewable energy land uses”: Omit 2nd bullet. It has been replaced by 4th bullet. text updated
35. Appendix: NP to review.
    1. First seven pages of the Appendix are repeated twice
    2. Why does Appendix start on page 4?