	Outchess County Department of	e >	То	Date #pgs	
	Planning and Development	Fax Info Only	Co./Dept.	From	
			Fax #	Phone #	
	239 Planning/Zoning Referral - Exemption Communities Municipality: Town of Stanford				
	Referring Agency: Municipal Board				
	Tax Parcel Numbers(s):				
	Project Name: Comprehensive Plan Update				
	Applicant: Town Board				
	Address of Property: 26 Town Hall Rd, 12581				
tion	239 Review is NOT Required	Actions Requiring 239 Review		Parcels within 500 feet of:	
Please Fill in this section	Administrative Amendments (fees, procedures, penalties, etc.) Special Permits for residential uses (accessory apts, home occupations,			State Road:	
			gulations, etc.)	County Road:	
			associated with zoning eservation, affordable	State Property (with recreation area or public building)	
e Fi		housing, architectural review, etc.) Rezonings involving all map cha		County Property (with recreation	
leas	Area Variances for residential uses	,		area or public building)	
Д	Renewals/Extension of Site Plans or		ew	Municipal Boundary	
	Special Permits that have no changes from previous approvals			Farm operation in an Agricultural District	
	No Authority to review these Actions		r all non-residential uses		
	Subdivisions / Lot Line Adjustments		all non-residential uses		
	● Interpretations Area Vari	ances for	all non-residential uses		
	review Other (De	escribe):			
	Date Response Requested: 7/10/2023				
	Entered By: Gurnett, Clayton				
	These actions are only exempt in municipalities that signed	an inte	rmunicipal agreemment	with Dutchess County to that effect.	
	For Count	v Office	Lise Only	<u> </u>	
			•	15 1	
Response From Dutchess County Department of Planning and Development					
	No Comments: Matter of Local Concern	-	mments Attached: al Concern with Comments		
	No Jurisdiction	Condition			
	No Authority	Denial			
Withdrawn Incomplete with Comments- municipality must resubmit to Informal Comments Only (Action Exempt from 239 Review None				cipality must resubmit to County	
				Exempt from 239 Review)	
	Notice				
Date Submitted: 6/9/2023 Notes:				Major Project	
Date Received: 6/22/2023				D (1 // TD00 4T0	
Date Requested: 7/10/2023				Referral #: ZR23-176	
	Date Required: 7/21/2023 Also mailed	R	eviewer:		
	Date Transmitted: 7/21/2023 hard copy			1	



EOIN WRAFTER, AICP COMMISSIONER

COUNTY OF DUTCHESS

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

July 21, 2023

To: Town Board, Town of Stanford

Re: ZR23-176, Comprehensive Plan Update

The Dutchess County Department of Planning and Development has reviewed the subject referral within the framework of General Municipal Law (Article 12B, §239-I/m).

ACTION

The Town seeks to adopt a new Comprehensive Plan to replace the current Master Plan that was written in 1980.

COMMENTS

We appreciate the many thoughtful edits that were made following an informal comment letter that our Department provided in 2021 for the review committees consideration, and would like to further commend the Town for their sustained dedication in seeing this updated Comprehensive Plan come to fruition.

General

We encourage the Town's desire to focus new residential and commercial development within the RC zoning district, with the goal of establishing a vibrant and walkable town center in this area. However, the plan recognizes that the town center envisioned in the plan may be difficult to realize due to potential limitations to development that lack of central water/sewer pose in the RC zone. Because of this, the Board might also want to consider small, secondary development areas to direct additional residential development, such as along Route 82 in the historic hamlets of Attlebury, Stissing, and McIntyre. This would increase housing availability while providing a wider array of housing options that are better suited to meet the needs of both the Town and its residents amidst current and projected demographic shifts that are touched on in the plan.

Census Data

2014-2018 ACS 5-year estimates are used heavily throughout the document. Since the time this draft was originally prepared (2021), 2020 decennial census numbers have been released and 5-year ACS estimates are now available for 2019, 2020, & 2021. The Board should consider updating the plan to include this more recent data as it represents the current conditions. 2020 decennial numbers should be used wherever possible, especially when comparing them to previous decennial count years (2000 & 2010). Given that Comprehensive Plan updates do not happen frequently, there should be a concerted effort placed on having the adopted plan be as up to date as possible.

Specific Comments

- Page 11 Revisions to the 2012 draft plan include the removal of the recommendation for a Planned
 Development District, which would have allowed increased housing density and commercial space in
 Stanfordville. It is unclear why this recommendation is being removed as it conflicts with the plans stated
 goals of encouraging both residential and commercial growth within the Stanfordville hamlet.
- Page 12 Paragraph at the top of the page references the tables summarizing specific recommendations that were originally in the 2021 draft, at the beginning of chapters 2-6. These tables were removed from the latest draft in an effort to reorganize and consolidate this information.
- Page 14, Objective 4.4 The first sentence here is repeated.

- o Page 18 2020 census counts showed a population of just under 3,700 (3,682) for the Town of Stanford.
- Page 18 Right-hand column 5th line, mentions "these data (2018)". It's unclear why 2018 is specified here if this is just a general reference to all the data used throughout the plan. If this was referencing the 2014-2018 ACS 5-year estimates, this should be clarified. For a general reference to all data, it should read "TheseThe data (2018) referenced within this plan presents... While the data were was not utilized..."
- Page 22 Under Two-Family it reads "Two and three family residences", "and three-family" should be removed here as three-family residences are multi-family residences, as defined by the Town Code.
- Page 27 First paragraph states that this draft was prepared in 2021 (this should be updated to 2023) and that data up to 2018 is used throughout the document. It then goes on to speak about covid-driven trends and home sales from 2020, inferring that the population may be increasing due to a higher rate of home sales. 2020 decennial census counts have been since been released, showing that Stanford's population has decreased since the 2010 decennial counts. Caution should be used when drawing inferences from this point in time without providing updated data. (See Census Data comment).
- Page 30 References population projections provided by the Cornell Program on Applied Demographics, pointing to the appendix. This should specify "Appendix Table 1". Appendix Table 1 has a column with 2030 projections, but the source of this data not provided here (Page 129).
- Page 31 Table 2-2 can be updated to include 2020 decennial counts, as it states that 2018 is omitted due to insufficient data.
- Page 31 Table 2-3 compares 2000 decennial census counts with 2005-2009 ACS 5-year estimates and 2014-2018 ACS 5-year estimates. It's unclear why 2005-2009 estimates are being used here instead of 2010 decennial counts that are used throughout the rest of the tables when comparing data to previous years.
- Page 32 First paragraph again mentions covid-related trends but states that 2020 unemployment data is not available. This can be updated as this data is now available.
- Page 34 It is mentioned here that an informal search showed that several dozen vacation rentals (STRs) are operating in the Town. The County has since partnered with the company Host Compliance who provides us with quarterly counts for short-term rentals operating within the County. Should the Town want to be more specific here, at the time of our review 41 STRs were reported in the Town of Stanford.
- Page 35 Table 2-6 cites the source as Cornell Cooperative Extension (CCE), while CCE may have performed the analysis, the data itself was sourced from Dutchess County Real Property Tax Services.
- Page 69 First paragraph, was "town center" meant to be highlighted here? The sentence at the end of this paragraph is missing a period.
- Page 106 Comprehensive plan recommendations (first bullet), our Department offers a variety of GIS
 and mapping services and we can provide assistance with the effort of creating a scenic viewshed map,
 and with any other mapping needs the Town may have.
- Page 108 First paragraph in the right-hand column should state that owners submit applications for the
 agricultural district program to the Dutchess County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board (AFPB),
 not the Dutchess County Soil and Water Conservation Board (DCSWCD). While the DCSWD may provide
 significant assistance to the AFBP in reviewing these applications, the AFPB receives these applications.
- Page 110 It's unclear if the sentence on this page was meant to be highlighted.
- Page 120-127, Summary of action items & implementation Consider including a third column in this
 table to identify where the responsibility of implementing these recommended actions lies. Certain items
 can be associated with the relevant department/board/committee tasked with following through on
 these points.
- O We notice that this current draft has removed certain items that were previously included in the appendix of the 2021 draft, including the Water Supply Protection Plan and some community feedback that was acquired during the public participation process. It's unclear why these were removed, as the Water Supply Protection Plan is referenced several times throughout the document and providing the results of the survey that was conducted would help to support the plan by showing that residents are in favor of the goals and visions it lays out for the future of the Town. If these are not going to be included in the appendix, consider providing information to the public as to where they would be able to access this information, be it online or in person.

- The plan recommends allowing accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and considers adopting regulations for STRs. If these terms are to be defined in the zoning code, consider addressing the current definition of "Guest Cottages" as it does not permit the exchange of money or rental fees for the use of these similar accessory structures.
- The EAF provided with this referral was attributed to the 2021 draft plan. The Board may want to update this to reflect the current 2023 draft.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Board rely upon its own study of the facts in the case with due consideration of the above comments.

Eoin Wrafter, AICP, Commissioner

Ву

Clayton Gurnett, Junior Planner